



The Common Council of the City of Bellevue, Idaho met at a Regular Meeting on Monday, September 8, 2025, at 5:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers of the City of Bellevue Offices, located at 115 E. Pine Street, Bellevue, ID 83313.

**Call to Order:** Mayor Giordani called the Regular Meeting to order at 5:31 p.m. (00:00:19 in video)

**Roll Call:**

Christina Giordani, Mayor – Present  
Diane Shay, Council President – Present  
Tammy E. Davis, Council Member – Present  
Suzanne Wrede, Council Member – Present  
Shaun Mahoney, Council Member – Present  
Tom Bergin, Council Member – Present  
Jessica Obenauf, Council Member – Present

**Staff Present:**

Chris Johnson, Public Works Director  
Amy Phelps, City Clerk  
Greg Beaver, Fire Chief  
Brian Parker, Community Development Director  
Carter Bullock, Planner  
Kirtus Gaston, Marshal  
Shelly Shoemaker, Treasurer  
Rick Allington, Legal Counsel

**1. Notice of Agenda Compliance:** (00:01:08 in video)

The posting of this regular meeting agenda complied with Idaho Code §74-204. The Regular meeting agenda was posted within forty-eight (48) hours prior to the meeting at the Bellevue City Hall, Post Office, and on the City website on *September 4, 2025*.

**Motion:** Council Member Davis moved that the agenda notice was in compliance with Idaho Code §74-204. Council Member Obenauf seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

**2. CALL FOR CONFLICT (AS OUTLINED IN IDAHO CODE §74-404): ACTION ITEM**

No conflict was noted at this time.

**3. MAYOR AND COUNCIL REPORT** (00:01:44 in minutes)

Mayor Giordani thanked everyone who contributed to the Labor Day festivities, noting strong community participation, a diverse parade, lively museum activities, and a solid City presence from staff and departments. She expressed appreciation to all volunteers and organizations involved.

The Mayor also reported that Congressman Simpson recently announced progress on a \$4 million Community Project Funding request. The effort, initiated by Chris Johnson and advanced through collaboration with the Mayor's office, has passed the House Appropriations Committee and is awaiting Senate review. If approved, the funding could help offset costs to residents related to the bond. Council will provide updates as the process continues.

Several council members reiterated how much they enjoyed the Labor Day events.

An inquiry was made about the City's participation in the Local Emergency Planning Committee. Staff confirmed that the Fire Chief and Marshal attend meetings, which are now held quarterly at the Sheriff's Office.

Council President Shay provided an update on the City building inspection, noting aging log issues identified during a recent assessment. Chris Johnson explained steps are underway, including chinking testing for asbestos and obtaining a full project quote. Funding for the work has been budgeted. A promising candidate has also expressed interest in serving on the Bellevue Urban Renewal Agency (BURA).

Mayor Giordani reminded council that one BURA seat, one Events Committee seat, and additional Parks Committee member positions remain open.

**4. PUBLIC COMMENT: FOR ITEMS OF CONCERN NOT ON THE AGENDA – (COMMENTS ARE LIMITED TO 3 MINUTES)**  
No comment was made at this time.

**5. CONSENT AGENDA: ACTION ITEMS**

- a. Approval of June 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2025 Council Meeting Minutes: Amy Phelps, Clerk
- b. Approval of Claims August 26, through September 8, 2025: Shelly Shoemaker, Treasurer
- c. Treasurer's Report: Shely Shoemaker, Treasurer
- d. Department Head Reports
- e. Water Project Update: Merrick & Company
- f. Approval of an Alcohol License Renewal: Kirtus Gaston, Bellevue Marshal

(00:11:30 in video) Council Member Wrede sought clarification on the availability of an existing conditions map, noting that easement maps typically follow it. Public Works Director Chris Johnson explained that the discussion refers to the "existing conditions of the easements," not a full property conditions map. Currently, easements exist in written descriptions, but no hand-plotted map has been created. The initial mapping effort aims to identify current easement locations to guide potential adjustments within the property, such as avoiding wetlands, improving elevation, or relocating features within the 10-acre parcel.

Mayor Giordani agreed to seek clarification from Merrick to ensure the maps are properly understood and delivered. Council Member Bergin asked about the status of the land survey work and boundary

survey, he thought that the team had been working all summer up at the site and thought this would have been delivered by now. Mr. Johnson noted that surveying did not begin until after the 4th of July, and Merrick's review identified additional structures requiring updated surveying. He explained the boundary survey is now being finalized and is expected to be delivered the next council meeting.

Council Member Bergin asked for clarification on how many older meters were identified as non-functional. Chris Johnson explained that only a very small number - under 1–2% - have issues. Examples included an outdated meter at the Silver Creek Hotel, which is already scheduled for replacement, and a difficult-to-access meter at the Riverside Trailer Park that staff must visually read manually. Overall, most meters are functioning properly, and reconciliation work between Cassell and Neptune data continues.

Bergin also asked about the status of updated procedures for resident notification and the emergency response plan. Johnson reported that the plan is being updated from the 2020 version and is nearly complete. Remaining tasks include finalizing on-call contractor contacts and developing SOPs for communicating emergency information to staff and residents.

Mayor Giordani reported that she and staff are developing a citywide communications SOP, and Public Works is drafting categorical SOPs for specific projects such as hydrant replacements. She added that the City's Emergency Response Plan is being updated with current contact information and communication procedures, and that completed SOPs will be posted on the City website and may be brought to Council for review.

Council President Shay then asked Public Works Director Chris Johnson about the delay noted in the wastewater report caused by an incorrect actuator shipment and also inquired about the timeline for submitting the reuse permit to DEQ. Mr. Johnson explained that the reuse permit draft is nearly complete and should be ready for DEQ review next week. He clarified that the wrong actuator types had been delivered, but replacements have now arrived, installation is in progress, and the delay will not affect plant startup. Chris also provided a brief explanation of what an actuator is and how it operates within the SCADA system.

Council President Shay next asked about the frost-free hydrant in the park and whether it should be replaced or removed. Mr. Johnson described the condition of the hydrant, noting repeated misuse, a rock jammed in the shutoff valve, cracking from lack of winterization, and general maintenance issues. He explained that pressurized irrigation now serves the park and asked the Council for direction on whether the hydrant is still needed. Mayor Giordani suggested continuing the discussion immediately so that staff receives clear guidance rather than pull it from the consent agenda.

Council President Shay commented that the hydrant had historically been used to create a small ice rink in winter and wondered whether that possibility should be preserved. Chris stated that Public Works does not recommend retaining the hydrant for that purpose, citing maintenance challenges, liability concerns, and past unpermitted uses. He noted that the old rink structure is no longer usable.

Council Member Obenauf expressed support for keeping some form of water access in the park, especially for permitted events, and suggested relocating the hydrant closer to the pavilion and securing it with a lock. Mayor Giordani agreed that relocation is feasible and that a lock-and-key system could be used. Marshal Kirt Gaston voiced support for retaining water access and acknowledged that he has used the hydrant in the past for a water balloon event and should obtain a permit for future

uses. Council Member Mahoney noted that maintaining an ice rink is difficult due to weather and staffing constraints and supported relocating and securing the water source.

Mr. Johnson stated that if the hydrant is going to be moved, it would be most efficient to relocate it at the same time the existing line is excavated, rather than performing the work twice. He then informed the Council that a second frost-free hydrant exists on the west end of the park near Elm Street, which is currently buried, unused, and difficult to operate. He asked whether it should be kept or abandoned. Council agreed that it should be abandoned, as the area is now served by irrigation and the hydrant is no longer used. Council Member Bergin added that typical drinking-style fountains are not suitable for filling water balloons but could serve general park needs in the future. Chris noted that both hydrants were originally installed for irrigation, which is no longer required. Mayor Giordani asked whether this issue had been discussed in the Parks Committee, and staff confirmed that it had not yet come up.

**Motion:** (00:32:54 in video) **Council Member Davis motioned** to approve the consent agenda. **Council Member Obenauf seconded** the motion. Council Members Voting Aye: Council President Shay, Council Member Bergin, Council Member Wrede, Council Member Mahoney, Council Member Obenauf. Council Members Voting Nay: none. **The Motion Passed.**

**6. WASTEWATER PLANT PROJECT UPDATE:** Andrew Kimmel, Great West Engineering (00:33:46 in video)

Andrew Kimmel from Great West Engineering reported that several schedule items have slipped (shown in red), mainly due to delays in electrical and SCADA work. Although these tasks are behind the original timeline, they are not affecting the final anticipated completion date. The next two weeks are critical to finishing electrical and SCADA work so Veolia can begin testing.

A major issue has been cleaning the aeration basins. He explained City staff were unable to remove the compacted sludge with existing equipment and a specialized contractor was brought in and successfully cleaned an entire basin in about three hours, with the remaining basins expected to be completed by the end of the week. Cleaning is essential to prevent future treatment issues and membrane problems.

He said hiring the contractor saved significant time and cost compared to the city attempting the full cleanup themselves. Estimated cost is expected to be in the thousands, likely around \$10,000–\$12,000, but Chris Johnson will have a firmer number.

Mr. Kimmel said he will be on-site next Monday for an inspection and will provide a more visual update next time, highlighting improvements made for long-term plant performance.

Council Member Wrede asked what part of the cost for the sludge removal was covered already.

Mr. Kimmel explained that an exact cost was not available yet because the contractor had to begin work immediately, but based on progress so far, he estimated the sludge removal would likely fall in the \$10,000–\$12,000 range, and not anywhere near \$30,000–\$40,000. He noted the contractor would probably need two to three days with specialized equipment.

Mr. Johnson added that the expected range is approximately \$8,000–\$15,000. He emphasized that the city's staff would have needed roughly six weeks using manual labor and unsafe access methods, whereas the contractor was able to complete work quickly and safely with a vac truck. He also noted

the basins contained large debris and had not been cleaned in roughly 15 years, making this work overdue.

Mr. Johnson stated he had already included \$20,000 for this task in the city's ICRMP reimbursement request, so the cost should be covered.

Council Member Wrede clarified that her question about the sludge removal costs was not intended to challenge the use of contractors. She emphasized that she is supportive of hiring contractors and is simply trying to obtain a specific number to understand the expense and how it fits into the plan. Wrede noted she was attempting to be succinct and focused with her questions and did not want to waste time by having the work or process repeatedly explained. She emphasized that her goal is simply to understand the facts and the financial impact, not to challenge the process or the use of contractors.

Mayor Giordani responded that she sees value in robust, detailed responses, and that department heads and contractors have the right to answer questions in the manner they choose. She encouraged Wrede to glean the information needed from the responses, even if the style is more narrative than she prefers and noted that a longer explanation does not make an answer incorrect.

Council Member Wrede acknowledged this, reiterating her desire for efficient communication, while Mayor Giordani stressed mutual respect for both the questioner and the respondent, noting that detailed answers can benefit the whole council. The discussion concluded with Wrede confirming that her question was answered and no further follow-up was needed at that time.

(00:45:08 in video) Council Member Davis asked for clarification on line item 18 regarding the SCADA system replacement, specifically wanting to understand the note that the "current system is no longer serviceable" and ensure the update accurately reflected the situation.

Andrew Kimmel explained that the existing SCADA system, installed with the plant 15 years ago, is no longer supported by the original manufacturer, meaning any software or operational issues cannot be corrected, which could cause the plant to stop functioning. The planned replacement system is provided by a local company, ACS, using open coding that is not proprietary. This allows future flexibility for other providers to access and modify the code. He further explained that ACS could not cross-connect the new system to the existing panels until the electricians completed their work, so the schedule was adjusted to allow full days for installation, avoiding multiple partial visits while keeping the overall project timeline unchanged.

## **7. PRESENTATION:**

### **a. Transportation Plan Update: Mariah Fowler, Forsgren Associates (00:49:22 in video)**

Mariah Fowler from Forsgren Associates provided an update on the city's transportation plan. She summarized a July meeting and explained that the plan continues the existing designs for street right-of-ways, which vary from 50 to 80 feet wide, without making changes. She noted that future developments using a 60-foot right-of-way should consider stormwater treatment because curbs and gutters direct water that will need to be managed. She also highlighted the importance of proper slopes to direct runoff and prevent flooding, particularly on Spruce Street.

Fowler reviewed four potential growth areas: Kirtley Street, north of Spruce Street, the Strahorn Subdivision, and Gannett Road toward the south. She then discussed maintenance, capital improvement, and multimodal plans, showing maps that illustrate current street conditions, signage,

and proposed improvements. She identified four priority roads for capital improvements—Pine, Second, Elm, and Fifth Street—and explained that these priorities help align potential grant funding with both road and sidewalk/pathway improvements.

She emphasized multimodal connectivity, including sidewalks, paths, and street crossing beacons, and noted that the plan shows improvements on both sides of the streets, with implementation dependent on available funding. Fowler also addressed the City’s road maintenance schedule: with current funding of roughly \$50,000 per year for chip sealing, a full rotation of all streets would take 22 years; increasing funding to \$100,000 or \$150,000 would reduce the cycle to 12 or 8 years, respectively, aligning more closely with best practices of 7–9 years between chip seals. She concluded by stressing that additional funding would support long-term road upkeep and encouraged Council to consider this in planning and public communications.

(01:01:52 in video) Council Member Obenauf asked whether, given the high cost of maintaining paved roads in small towns with widespread infrastructure, any communities are reverting to gravel roads.

Mariah Fowler responded that this is generally not happening. She explained that gravel roads often require more frequent and intensive maintenance, including constant regrading after storms, managing potholes that grow quickly, dealing with gravel that drifts off the roadway, and addressing dust control. Dust suppression methods such as magnesium chloride typically last only one season, adding recurring annual costs. Overall, while gravel may seem simpler, it brings its own significant maintenance demands, making it less practical as an alternative to paved or chip-sealed roads.

Mayor Giordani reminded the Council that the transportation plan open house Mariah mentioned will be held at 5 p.m. on Wednesday, September 10th. She noted that the information was shared in the City newsletter and posted on the website, and wanted to ensure Tony Evans from the Idaho Mountain Express had the details.

Council Member Davis asked for clarification that the \$60,000 snow-plowing figure shown on the public materials represents only the annual subcontractor cost and does not reflect the City’s full expense for plowing. Mariah Fowler agreed to revise the poster to make that distinction clear and confirmed she had emailed the full materials to the Clerk for official distribution. Mayor Giordani confirmed staff would follow up and provide the requested information to Tony Evans.

Council Member Bergin asked whether a road must be in a certain condition before chip sealing. Mariah explained that the answer is both yes and no: some agencies build roads economically by applying multiple chip seals as a structural strategy, while chip sealing is most effective on roads with a sound underlying structure. For roads that are poorly constructed, chip sealing acts more like a band-aid that extends life and delays more expensive reconstruction; it is still valuable for preventing rapid deterioration and costly emergency repairs. Mariah reiterated that the draft plan prioritizes the best-built roads for chip sealing first and that funding will guide implementation.

Mariah reviewed the maps and priority streets included in the plan, noted the need to consider stormwater treatment when adding curb and gutter in 60-foot right-of-ways, and emphasized the importance of slopes to manage runoff—particularly on Spruce Street. She outlined four potential growth areas and described the multimodal connectivity proposals, including sidewalks, paths, and crossing beacons, and said the plan shows improvements on both sides of streets where feasible. Regarding maintenance funding, Mariah explained that at the current \$50,000 per year for chip seals it

would take about 22 years to cycle all streets; increasing that funding to roughly \$100,000 or \$150,000 per year would shorten the cycle to approximately 12 or 8 years respectively, which is closer to the recommended 7–9 year maintenance interval. She closed by encouraging Council to consider additional funding and said she would present large printed maps at the Town Hall.

**b. Comprehensive Plan Update: Brian Parker, Community Development Director (01:10:55 in video)**

Brian Parker, Community Development Director, presented an update on the Bellevue Comprehensive Plan. He explained that the current plan dates to 2017 with minor amendments, and a full update is needed roughly every 5–10 years. The plan’s objectives are to be realistic and sustainable, reflect current and anticipated future conditions, meet state requirements under LUPA, and remain regionally aware. Existing elements that remain useful will be retained, with implementation updated as needed. Parker emphasized using open-source or low-cost tools when possible and outlined a tentative timeline aiming for completion by spring 2027.

He described ongoing data collection and community engagement efforts, including a recent Labor Day event with interactive boards and a scavenger hunt, a monthly online survey on existing conditions, and “leave a message” phone installations around town for residents to answer questions. He also mentioned plans to form a youth advisory council with high school students. Mr. Parker concluded by highlighting the advisory committee’s involvement and encouraged participation from residents in upcoming events.

Council Member Davis asked if there would be an opportunity to talk about this at Wednesday’s Town Hall to which Mr. Parker said he’d like to keep the Town Hall focused on Transportation as much as possible, but it will be an opportunity to tell everyone that comes through the door to also get involved.

Mayor Giordani expressed appreciation for Mr. Parker’s creativity on the different levels of engagement.

The council asked Brian Parker about whether the goals and objectives from the 2017 Comprehensive Plan had been reviewed to see what was still applicable and what barriers might have prevented implementation. Parker confirmed that both the council and the advisory committee have been evaluating the plan, scoring items, and determining which elements remain useful or need updating. Council members emphasized the importance of public engagement in shaping the plan to reflect residents’ needs and desires, and discussed outreach efforts, including social media and newsletters, to ensure broad community participation. Overall, they expressed support for Parker’s outreach creativity and offered to help promote engagement.

**8. NEW BUSINESS (01:23:22 in video)**

- a. Consideration and Approval of Resolution No. 25-26 authorizing the treasurer to establish an LGIP Capital Account with the Idaho State Treasurer’s Office and to transfer funds received in the amount of \$27,448 to said fund for future water capital improvements: Shelly Shoemaker, Treasurer | ACTION ITEM**

Shelly Shoemaker, Treasurer explained that the Public Works Director requested setting aside funds from a settlement award, of which 20% has been received so far. The funds will be placed in a separate account to earn interest and be available for future projects. This is to open the account.

Council Member Wrede asked where the public can find information about the 3M settlement and the contaminants found in the Chestnut and Chantrel wells. She also questioned how the settlement funds will be used for testing and mitigation and why all of the money is being set aside.

Chris Johnson explained that starting around 2022, DEQ offered free testing for PFAS and other unregulated contaminants (UCMR5) to public water systems. He recommended Bellevue's water system participate due to the location of the wells. Testing identified lithium and PFAS compounds in both the Chestnut and Chanterell wells. Following sampling, the city filed for and received a settlement award. The funds are being set aside in a long-term interest-bearing account because there is no specific guidance yet on how to use them. Meanwhile, ongoing testing and monitoring continue to track contaminant levels and potential impacts, with the city prepared to mitigate if necessary.

Council Member Wrede asked where residents can access information about the PFAS findings and the settlement funds. Chris Johnson responded that the information is currently included in the Consumer Confidence Reports. Wrede clarified she was asking about what is actively being shared with residents rather than requesting him to locate documents, and Johnson acknowledged that.

It was clarified that the funds would go into a dedicated LGIP account earning interest and would not be co-mingled with other funds. Council discussion was had on the importance of keeping the funds earmarked for potential mitigation or remediation while maintaining flexibility in case guidance changes or future councils want to allocate them differently. There was also discussion about labeling the account clearly, specifying the source, and whether future installments should automatically go into the account without needing a new resolution each time. The consensus was to create a clearly labeled account for the funds, allow interest to accrue, and leave flexibility for future use, while documenting its intended purpose.

**Motion:** Council Member Davis motioned to approve Resolution No. 25-26 authorizing the treasurer to establish an LGIP Capital Account with the Idaho State Treasurer's Office and to transfer funds received in the amount of \$27,448 to said fund for future water capital improvements with the amendments discussed . Council Member Mahoney seconded the motion. Council Members Voting Aye: Council President Shay, Council Member Bergin, Council Member Mahoney, Council Member Dairs, Council Member Obenauf, Council Member Wrede. Council Members Voting Nay: none. **The Motion Passed.**

## 9. OLD BUSINESS

- a. Consideration of Ordinance No. 2025-10 an ordinance of the City of Bellevue, Idaho, amending Bellevue's City Code by adding a new chapter to Title 4: Health, Sanitation, and Environment to control nuisance weeds, and providing an effective date of this ordinance upon passage, approval, and publication according to law, Brian Parker, Community Development Director | **ACTION ITEM**

(01:40:06 in video) Brian Parker, Community Development Director presented what he described as the near-final version of a document previously discussed in council meetings. He noted it had been shared

with the council several times and invited questions. Council Member Wrede questioned why the item, which addresses noncompliant residents with dry vegetation, was treated as a priority and presented over three separate meetings, asking what percentage of the population this affects. Mayor Giordani explained it was a priority because it was included in the Fire Chief and Community Development Director's strategic plan for the year. The three presentations occurred due to a mistake in the second meeting, and adjustments have been made since the first presentation.

Council Member Bergin stated that the revised ordinance is a substantial improvement over earlier drafts, which he felt were overly broad and could have led to neighbor disputes over nuisance determinations. He appreciated that the current version places responsibility for identifying fire risk with the Fire Chief, providing a clear and qualified authority rather than leaving such judgments to residents. He noted that evaluating fire risk versus preserving riparian or natural vegetation—particularly in areas like Glen Aspen—has historically been challenging and contentious, and felt the narrower scope appropriately addresses those concerns.

Council Member Obenauf questioned whether the City would assume responsibility for vegetation management in public rights-of-way beyond private property lines. Brian Parker responded that the updated approach would limit private property owner responsibility to the property line, with the City assuming responsibility for vegetation management beyond that point. Mayor Giordani noted that she has discussed this with Casey McGehee and that this framework is being incorporated into the City Assets team's management plan.

Council Member Wrede questioned how the ordinance would be applied equally when the City itself owns and maintains some properties, asking who would hold the City accountable if it failed to comply and whether the City would face the same penalties as private property owners. Marshal Gaston explained that enforcement typically begins with notices and communication, not citations. Mayor Giordani explained that the City would respond to complaints about public rights-of-way through maintenance rather than fines. Rick Allington noted that the City does not pay fines.

The discussion then focused on enforcement mechanisms, with Council Member Obenauf questioning whether violations should be classified as misdemeanors or handled through civil penalties. Marshal Gaston explained that the City has the option to use civil penalties—starting at \$100 and escalating with repeat offenses—which are handled internally and were adopted in recent years, while misdemeanor language provides additional enforcement authority if compliance cannot be achieved.

Concerns were raised about practicality, resource use, and the City's ability to consistently enforce misdemeanor-level ordinances, as well as equity issues for elderly residents, tenants, or individuals with limited capacity to address vegetation issues. Council Member Wrede reiterated the importance of equal application of the law to all property owners and cautioned against adopting ordinances that are difficult to enforce or lack a broader, supportive framework.

Mayor Giordani followed up with Council Member Wrede, emphasizing that the Fire Chief's recommendation and years of experience are part of a broader strategic plan, including emergency preparedness and community risk management. Council Member Wrede noted that while the Fire Chief's goal may be to eliminate all fire hazards, the Council's role is to balance that goal with the diversity and practical needs of the community. The discussion then focused on ordinance language and enforcement, including removing "tenant or occupant" to hold property owners accountable, and addressing the misdemeanor question. It was agreed that first offenses could be handled through civil

penalties and subsequent offenses could escalate to misdemeanor penalties under Section 141, allowing flexibility while maintaining enforcement authority. Council clarified that dollar amounts would not be fixed in the ordinance but would follow the tiered civil penalty structure already in the code, established in 2023, ensuring penalties could be adjusted by future resolution without amending the ordinance. The Council confirmed clarity on these two changes: striking “tenant or occupant” and incorporating civil penalty language in lieu of specifying misdemeanors or dollar amounts.

**Motion: Council Member Davis motioned to waive the three reading and read Ordinance No. 2025-10 by title only. Council President Shay seconded the motion. Council Members Voting Aye: Council President Shay, Council Member Bergin, Council Member Mahoney, Council Member Daivs, Council Member Obenauf, Council Member Wrede. Council Members Voting Nay: none. The Motion Passed.**

Council Member Davis read by title only.


**Motion: Council Member Davis motioned to approve Ordinance No. 2025-10 an ordinance of the City of Bellevue, Idaho, amending Bellevue’s City Code by adding a new chapter to Title 4: Health, Sanitation, and Environment to control nuisance weeds, and providing an effective date of this ordinance upon passage, approval, and publication according to law with amendments noted above. Council Member Mahoney seconded the motion. Council Members Voting Aye: Council President Shay, Council Member Bergin, Council Member Mahoney, Council Member Daivs, Council Member Obenauf. Council Members Voting Nay: Council Member Wrede. The Motion Passed.**

**Motion: Council Member Davis motioned to publish Ordinance No. 2025-10 by summary only. Council President Shay seconded the motion. Council Members Voting Aye: Council President Shay, Council Member Bergin, Council Member Mahoney, Council Member Daivs, Council Member Obenauf, Council Member Wrede. Council Members Voting Nay: none. The Motion Passed.**

**Adjournment: (02:12:27 in Video)** With no further business coming before the Common Council at this time, Council Member Wrede moved to adjourn the meeting. Council Member Obenauf seconded the motion. The meeting adjourned at 07:43 p.m. The motion passed unanimously.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Christina Giordani, Mayor

Attest:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Amy Phelps, City Clerk

